arXiv:hep-th/0108127v1 17 Aug 2001

SEARCHING FOR STRING THEORIES OF THE STANDARD MODEL

DAVID BAILIN
Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK, D.Bailin@sussex.ac.uk,

GEORGE KRANIOTIS
Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ,UK, G.Kraniotis@sussex.ac.uk

ALEX LOVE
Department of Physics, Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX

We briefly review attempts to construct string theories that yield the standard model, concentrating on models with a geometric interpretation. Calabi-Yau compactifications are discussed in the context of both the weakly coupled heterotic string and the strongly coupled version, heterotic M-theory. Similarly, we consider orbifold compactifications of weakly coupled heterotic theory and of Type II theories in the presence of D-branes. The latter allows a "bottom-up" construction that so far seems the most natural and direct route to the standard model.

1 Introduction and background

The discovery by Green and Schwarz[1] that Type I string theory, with gauge group $SO(32)$, is free of gauge, gravitational and mixed anomalies sparked the first string revolution. The construction of the heterotic string theories[2], with associated gauge group $SO(32)$ or $E_8 \times E_8$, followed shortly after. Since it was the first fully consistent quantum theory of gravity, and came equipped with a gauge group, it naturally suggested that string theory might have a rôle as a quantum theory of all of the interactions of nature. The immediate obstacle that the consistency of the theory requires a space-time with dimension $D = 10$, whereas nature apparently has only $d = 4$ dimensions, may be surmounted by requiring that the unobserved (six, assumed spatial) dimensions are compactified, in the manner first suggested by Kaluza and Klein over eighty years ago. It was next shown[3] that if we require that the $d = 4$ dimensional Minkowski space-time has $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry, then there is a covariantly constant spinor, and the compactified dimensions must form a space with $SU(3)$ holonomy group. This occurs if and only if it is a Ricci-flat Kähler manifold. Manifolds with this property are called Calabi-Yau manifolds, and in §2 we review briefly the attempts to find Calabi-Yau threefolds which yield a realistic gauge group and matter content.

The simplest compact flat space is just a torus T^6, but because it is Riemann- as well as Ricci-flat, the residual supersymmetry is $\mathcal{N} = 4$. How-

ever, this can be reduced to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ if we quotient the space by a "point group" P which acts crystallographically on the lattice of the torus. If P has fixed points, the resulting space has curvature singularities at the fixed points, but is flat at all other points. Such spaces are called "orbifolds", and despite the singularities it turns out that string propagation is well-defined on an orbifold[4]. Furthermore, all quantities in the effective supergravity theory that emerges are much more readily calculable than in Calabi-Yau threefolds. It is therefore natural to to see whether orbifold compactifications can yield a realistic gauge group and matter content, and the work on this topic is reviewed in §3.

Both of the foregoing attempts to extract realistic phenomenology from (the weakly coupled heterotic) string theory make explicit use of the geometry of the six unobserved spatial dimensions. However, the heterotic string is a hybrid, whose right-moving degrees of freedom are those of the ($D = 10$) Type II string, but whose left-movers are those of the original ($D = 26$) bosonic string. The sixteen additional left-movers are regarded as "internal" degrees of freedom, not realised as physical spatial dimensions, and are either compactified on a maximal torus or alternatively fermionized; six of the remaining nine spatial dimensions are then compactified in the ways described above. This suggests the possibilty that *none* of the six additional spatial dimensions is realised physically, and that they could all be regarded as internal degrees of freedom, like the sixteen superfluous left movers. The need for $D = 10$ space-time dimensions in superstring theory derives from the requirement that the total central charge of the world-sheet superconformal field theory vanishes, and this can be achieved by degrees of freedom that are not necessarily those that derive from geometric dimensions. This observation motivated the Gepner models[5], in which the nine units of central charge, supplied to the superstring by the six compact (superspace) dimensions, are provided instead by various minimal $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal coset theories. Similarly, in the free-fermion models[6,7], all of the compact bosonic coordinates are fermionized, giving twenty real world-sheet fermionic right movers, and 44 real world-sheet fermionic left movers. Different theories result from imposing different boundary conditions on these fermions, and it is arguable that the most realistic string models found to date have been constructed in this way[8]. However, the second string revolution, made great use of the geometric aspects of string theory, and so far as we are aware there have been no echoes of the non-geometric compactifications in the many new forms of string theory which ensued. We have therefore confined this brief review of the possibility of extracting realistic phenomenology from string theory to the scenarios with a geometric interpretation.

The second revolution has led to the belief that the five known superstring theories are actually limiting cases of a deeper, more fundamental theory, M-theory, whose precise nature has not yet been unravelled[9]. The new insights have resolved several longstanding criticisms of (the theory formerly known as)

string theory. For example, it has been known for many years that the largest space-time dimension of a supergravity theory is $D = 11$, whereas all of the superstring theories utilize only $D = 10$ dimensions. It seemed odd that the larger symmetry was not realized by any of these allegedly fundamental theories. Similarly, the power of string theory to tame the notorious divergences of quantum gravity derives from abandoning the requirement of (point-like) particle fundamental entities in favour of (one-dimensional) strings. This raises the question of whether (two-dimensional) membranes, or even higher (p-dimensional) p-branes might arise, especially since $p = 2$ super-membranes[10] were known to arise as solitons in $D = 11$ supergravity[11]. In addition, the $D = 10$ supergravity arising from the $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string has 5-brane solitons, whose mass per unit 5-volume becomes larger as the string coupling gets weaker; this suggests a weakly coupled 5-brane when the string is strongly coupled. Following the revolution it is now believed that in some region of moduli space (*i.e.* the vacuum expectation values that determine the strength of the string coupling, and the size and shape of the compactified space), M-theory is well-approximated by $D = 11$ supergravity, and that all of the five (perturbative) superstring theories arise as limiting cases in other regions. For example, if one coordinate (x^{10}) of the $D = 11$ supergravity is compactified on a circle of radius R, which is wrapped by one of the (two) membrane dimensions, then when R is small the pipe-like super-membrane world volume becomes that of a string, and the dimensionally-reduced supergravity action becomes that of the (non-chiral, $D = 10$) Type IIA superstring[12]. Likewise, the $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string may be obtained by compactification on a circular orbifold S^1/Z_2; the chiral matter arises from the need to cancel anomalies at the orbifold fixed points at $x^{10} = 0, \pi R$, with an E_8 gauge group occurring on the ten-dimensional subspace at each of the fixed points[13]. In the limit that $R \to 0$ we recover the $D = 10$ supergravity of the weakly-coupled heterotic $E_8 \times E_8$ theory. The phenomenological implications of the strongly-coupled heterotic $E_8 \times E_8$ theory may be studied by considering compactifications of the $D = 11$ supergravity theory, with gauge interactions confined to the 9-branes at the ends of the universe, possibly augmented by 5-branes at intermediate points. The attempts to obtain realistic phenomenology from this heterotic M-theory are reviewed in §4.

The fact that in heterotic M-theory the gauge group lives on subspaces of the full space-time in which gravity propagates raises the possibility that other string theories might generate realistic models if they too admit p-branes. In fact, by considering the compactification of Type I open string theory on a circle of radius R, it is easy to see that in the "T-dual" theory, in which the compactification is on a circle of radius R^{-1} (in string units), the string satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions, in the compactified coordinate, *i.e.* the ends of the string are forced to lie on fixed, eight-dimensional hyperplanes, D8-branes[14]; including Wilson lines for the $SO(32)$ gauge group, there are sixteen D8-branes on which the open strings begin and end, each with a

massless $U(1)$ gauge boson. In the bulk, the closed superstrings are of Type IIA. Proceeding in this way with additional dimensions, we get to Type IIA superstring with a collection of Dp-branes with p even, and to Type IIB with p odd. If n parallel Dp-branes are at the same point in their transverse space, the gauge group is enlarged to $U(n)$. Thus we are led to consider orientifold compactifications of Type IIB superstrings, and in §5 we review their success in generating realistic phenomenology.

All of the approaches that we have described so far are "top-down". For example, in the models described in §2 one compactifies the $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string on a Calabi-Yau manifold with Euler character $\chi_E = \pm 6$. With the "standard embedding" this leads to a three-generation supersymmetric model with an E_6 observable gauge group, which may be broken by the addition of Wilson lines. TeV scale supersymmetry breaking is assumed to arise from non-perturbative effects, such as hidden-sector gaugino condensation. An alternative "bottom-up" approach has recently been proposed [15], in which the standard-model gauge group, as well as some of the matter, arises naturally from a stack of D3-branes living at an orbifold fixed point of the compactified dimensions. Local cancellation of Ramond-Ramond twisted tadpole charges necessitates the addition of more D-branes, which then entail further D-branes to cancel twisted tadpoles at other fixed points, until eventually a consistent theory is obtained free of local and global tadpoles. The idea is to *start* with (a large piece) of what is needed, and to build up from there, so that central features are relatively insensitive to the global disposition of D-branes and Wilson lines. In §6 we describe this approach more fully, as well as our own attempt[16] to get realistic phenomenology from it.

2 Calabi-Yau compactifications of the weakly coupled heterotic string

The first attempt to make the heterotic $E_8 \times E_8$ string model physically realistic was the seminal paper by Candelas et al [3]. In this paper the 10-dimensional Minkowski space-time, was replaced with a product of a 3+1-dimensional maximally symmetric space-time and some internal compact manifold $\mathcal{M}$. For the resulting 3+1-dimensional effective model to possess precisely $\mathcal{N} = 1$ local supersymmetry, consistency requires the 3+1-dimensional space-time to be Minkowskian and $\mathcal{M}$ to be Ricci-flat and Kähler if Riemannian. Such six-dimensional spaces are called Calabi-Yau (CY) spaces. They have $SU(3)$ holonomy and vanishing first Chern class ($c_1(\mathcal{M}) = 0$). Further restrictions arise from requiring that the effective model in four dimensions is anomaly free. This is guaranteed if the following relationship is satisfied in vacuum:

$$30 \int \mathrm{Tr} R \wedge R = \int \mathrm{Tr} F \wedge F \qquad (1)$$

The simplest way to satisfy (1) is to set the background spin connection equal to the background Yang-Mills connection. Since the compactified space has $SU(3)$ holonomy, one seeks an SU(3) sub-bundle of the $E_8 \times E_8$ Yang-Mills bundle. The correct numerical coefficient is obtained if the SU(3) is embedded entirely in one E_8 factor leaving an effective $E_6 \times E_8$ gauge theory[a]. This results in standard E_6 families of quarks and leptons in the 27-dimensional representation. The number of families is given by $\frac{1}{2}|\chi_E(\mathcal{M})|$, where $\chi_E(\mathcal{M})$ is the Euler characteristic of the manifold $\mathcal{M}$. Thus a manifold with Euler number ± 6 will provide us with three families. Another must for low energy phenomenology is that the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{M})$, which measures the ability to break E_6 to a more realistic gauge group, must be at least Z_3. Thus realistic phenomenology in this framework seems to require the Calabi-Yau manifold to be multiply-connected.

Let us first discuss the web of different simply-connected Calabi-Yau manifolds and attempt to find such manifolds with the required Euler number. Some of these constructions will be useful in our discussions of heterotic M-theory. The Euler number is given in terms of the Hodge numbers by the following expression

$$\chi_E(\mathcal{M}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\dim\mathcal{M}} (-)^r b_r, \quad b_r = \sum_{p=0}^{r} b_{p,r-p}. \tag{2}$$

and the Hodge numbers, which are defined as the dimensions of the Dolbeault cohomology groups, $b_{p,q} := \dim H^{p,q}_{\bar{\partial}}(\mathcal{M})$, are topological invariants. There are many ways to build Calabi-Yau manifolds. In particular, CY manifolds have been constructed as complete intersections in products of projective spaces; intersections in which some of the additional factors in the embedding spaces are provided by algebraic surfaces such as *almost del Pezzo* surfaces; complete intersections in products involving *almost Fano 3-folds*; embeddings in weighted projective spaces, and generalised *flag spaces*. A CY manifold can be defined as a complete intersection in a product of projective spaces $P^{n_1}_1 \times P^{n_2}_2 \times \cdots P^{n_m}_m$ subject to K polynomial constraints on the coordinates; n_r is the dimension of the rth projective space. The total Chern class is then

$$c(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{\prod_{r=1}^{m}(1+J_r)^{n_r+1}}{\prod_{a=1}^{K}(1+\sum_{s=1}^{m} q^s_a J_s)} \tag{3}$$

where q^r_a denotes the degree of the ath defining polynomial in the coordinates of the rth projective space, and J_r is the Kähler $(1,1)$ form over $P^{n_r}_r$. The Chern classes are obtained by expanding (3). On expansion, the first Chern class is $c_1 = \sum_{r+1}^{m}(n_r + 1 - \sum_{a=1}^{K} q^r_a)J_r$. For a Calabi-Yau manifold $c_1 = 0$ and $\sum_{a=1}^{K} q^r_a = n_r + 1$ for all $r = 1, \cdots, m$. When $\sum_{a=1}^{K} q^r_a \leq n_r + 1$

[a] The fibre of one E_8 factor of the Yang-Mills bundle decomposes as $248 \to (78,1) \oplus (27,3) \oplus (\bar{27},\bar{3}) \oplus (1,8)$ upon restriction to the $E_6 \times SU(3)$ maximal subgroup.

(with $<$ for at least one r), the configuration is *almost-ample*. When the inequality holds for all r we talk about *ample configurations*. In two and three dimensions we say *(almost) del Pezzo* and *(almost) Fano* instead of "(almost) ample". The total Chern class for a complex vector bundle V over a complex manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is $c(V) = 1 + \sum_r c_r(V)$ with the Chern classes $c_r(V) \in H^{2r}(\mathcal{M})$, $r = 1, \cdots, min(\text{rank}V, \text{dim}\mathcal{M})$. These represent the cohomology classes of the coefficient forms which are found in the expansion of the characteristic polynomial $\det[1+\lambda\mathbf{F}] = 1+\lambda\text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}]+\lambda^2(\text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}\wedge\mathbf{F}]-2[\text{Tr}\mathbf{F}]^2)+\cdots$ where $\mathbf{F}$ is a suitably normalized curvature two-form on V.

For realistic applications the Calabi-Yau space should be multiply connected. The simplest method of constructing multiply-connected varieties is to construct a variety $\mathcal{Y}$ which admits the *free action* of some group G, and then pass to the quotient space $\mathcal{M} := \{\mathcal{Y}/G\}$. Now, CY n-folds ($n > 1$) admit no continuous holomorphic symmetries, so one seeks a finite group G with a holomorphic and free action on $\mathcal{Y}$. The Euler number of the quotient space is given by

$$\chi_E(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{\chi_E(\mathcal{Y})}{|G|} \tag{4}$$

where $|G|$ denotes the order of G. Freely acting symmetries are of course rather more difficult to find than symmetries which have fixed points sets. In the latter case, we expect singularities to occur in the quotient space $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{Y}/G\}$. String theory can still make sense in such singular spaces (orbifolds) and phenomenologically viable models are discussed in §3.

The results from the complete intersection Calabi-Yau manifolds (CICY) embedded in products of projective spaces, which are prominent candidates for the compactification of the heterotic string are as follows. Using the $c_1(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ condition it was recognized in [17] that all CICYs can be described by finitely many polynomials in products of projective spaces. Each configuration leads to a family of Calabi-Yau spaces whose generic member is smooth. By a computer classification, 7868 configurations with Euler numbers between -200 and 0 were found in [19,20]. In [21] all their Hodge numbers were calculated. 265 different combinations occur. Application of a theorem of Wall, which states that the homotopy types of CY-threefolds $\mathcal{M}$ can be classified by their Hodge numbers, their topological triple couplings $K^0_{ijk} := \int_{\mathcal{M}} J_i \wedge J_j \wedge J_k$ and $c_2.J_i := \int_{\mathcal{M}} c_2 \wedge J_i$, revealed that there are at least 2590 topologically different members in this class. Green and Hübsch[18] have shown that all families of CICYs are connected by the process described in [17] of contracting a family to a nodal configuration and performing a small resolution of the latter. Certain quotients of them by discrete groups were constructed in [22] which have Euler number $\chi = -6$. Two of them, have a non-trivial Z_3 fundamental group and give rise to heterotic string compactifications with three generations and a natural mechanism for breaking the E_6 group by Wilson lines. In [23] a

3-generation model was constructed by dividing the manifold

$$\begin{pmatrix} P^3 \\ P^2 \end{pmatrix} \Bigg|\Bigg| \begin{matrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{matrix} \Bigg)^{8}_{-54}$$

by a $G = Z_3 \times Z_3$ symmetry and resolving the singular quotient. The second example, the Tian-Yau manifold, is the only weakly coupled case that has been explored phenomenologically in some depth[24], and is given by the configuration matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} P^3 \\ P^3 \end{pmatrix} \Bigg|\Bigg| \begin{matrix} 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \end{matrix} \Bigg)^{14}_{-18}$$

whose topological Euler number $\chi_E = -18$ and Hodge number is $b_{1,1} = 14$. The integers denote the degrees of the defining system of polynomials of constraints for the embedded 3-fold $\mathcal{M}$. Thus the above Tian-Yau manifold represents the system of polynomials of bi-degree (3,0),(0,3) and (1,1) in the homogeneous coordinates $x_i, y_i \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ of $P^3 \times P^3$ [24]

$$\begin{aligned} \sum x_i^3 + a_3 x_0 x_1 x_2 + a_2 x_0 x_1 x_3 + a_1 x_0 x_2 x_3 + a_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0 \qquad (5) \\ \sum y_i^3 + b_3 y_0 y_1 y_2 + b_2 y_0 y_1 y_3 + b_1 y_0 y_2 y_3 + b_0 y_1 y_2 y_3 = 0 \\ \sum c_{ij} x_i y_j = 0, \qquad (c_{00} = 1) \end{aligned}$$

where a_i, b_i, c_{ij} are the 23 independent complex parameters that represent deformations of the complex structure of the Tian-Yau manifold, and whose number equals the Hodge number $b_{2,1} = 23$. The quotient of this manifold by the Z_3 group acting by

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \mapsto (x_0, x_1, x_2, \alpha x_3, y_0, y_1, y_2, \alpha^2 y_3), \ (\alpha = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}) \qquad (6)$$

on the homogeneous coordinates yields a simple realization of a three-generation compactification, which is diffeomorphically equivalent to the one in the first example. Indeed, since $|Z_3| = 3$, the Euler number of the quotient manifold is $\chi_E = -18/3 = -6$. The rest of the topological data are determined as follows. Of the 23 $(2,1)$ forms of the Tian-Yau manifold 9 transform trivially under Z_3 and these descend to harmonic forms of the quotient manifold [24]. Consequently, $b_{2,1} = 9$ and therefore, since $\frac{1}{2}\chi_E(\mathcal{M}) = -3 = b_{1,1} - b_{2,1}$, we have $b_{1,1} = 6$. In [24] it was also shown how a combination of symmetry breaking by Wilson lines and Higgs scalar VEVs can lead to low-energy models with properties close to the minimal supersymmetric standard model. More specifically, an embedding of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) = Z_3$ of the quotient CY manifold in E_6 results in an $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$ gauge group. Further, intermediate scale (IS) gauge symmetry breaking by scalar VEVs breaks the symmetry down to the standard model gauge group, with three generations of quarks and leptons remaining massless before electroweak breaking. Discrete symmetries in the model

are important for eliminating dimension-four baryon- and lepton-number violating operators. However, baryon and lepton number could be violated by higher-dimensional operators which are suppressed by inverse powers of the intermediate scale. Experimental bounds on nucleon decay require that $M_{IS} > O(10^{14})$GeV.

Both models have (2,2) world-sheet supersymmetry which naturally yields an E_6 effective GUT group. On the other hand, as has been emphasized by Greene, Distler and Kachru[25,26,27], (0,2) Calabi-Yau models provide a much broader class of compactifications in which one can also naturally obtain $SO(10)$ or $SU(5)$ as the effective gauge group. In fact, Kachru constructed four examples in this class of models which yield three generations of chiral fermions in the normal unification representations of E_6 and $SU(5)$. Two of the examples, with $SU(5)$ gauge group, are on manifolds with a non-trivial fundamental group. Furthermore, using Wilson lines as a tool for gauge symmetry breaking, the simple gauge group is broken down to $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. When compactifying on a manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \neq 0$, expectation values may be given to Wilson lines around the noncontractible loops γ in $\mathcal{M}$

$$U_\gamma = Pe^{(\oint_\gamma A dx)} \tag{7}$$

This amounts to a choice of a homomorphism from $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) \to G$, where G is the space-time gauge group. This leaves with a vacuum with G broken to the subgroup of G which commutes with U_γ. The data which enters in specifying a (0,2) Calabi-Yau model is a choice of the Calabi-Yau manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and stable, holomorphic vector bundles V_1 and V_2 (representing the vacuum configurations of the gauge fields in the observable and hidden E_8 of the heterotic string) satisfying

$$c_2(\mathcal{M}) = c_2(V_1) + c_2(V_2) \tag{8}$$

$$c_1(V_{1,2}) = 0 \bmod 2. \tag{9}$$

Here the c_i are the Chern classes of the vector bundles in question. The first equation is the well-known anomaly cancellation condition, while the second is the requirement that V_1 and V_2 admit spinors.

3 Orbifold compactifications of the weakly coupled heterotic string

Geometric models in which calculations can be more easily and more fully carried out can be obtained from the heterotic string by replacing the Calabi-Yau manifold by a (toroidal) orbifold. (For a review see Bailin and Love[28].) A toroidal orbifold is the closest we can get to a torus while reducing the supersymmetry from $\mathcal{N} = 4$ to $\mathcal{N} = 1$. To go from an underlying torus to an orbifold, points on the torus T^6 are identified when they are linked by

the action of an element of a discrete group P, called the point group, which acts crystallographically on the lattice defining equivalent points on the torus. Thus, the orbifold is the space T^6/P. Provided that P is a discrete subgroup of $SU(3)$, the surviving supersymmetry is $\mathcal{N} = 1$. This requires P to be specific Z_N or $Z_M \times Z_N$ groups.

The massless states for an orbifold live either in the untwisted sector, where the world sheet boundary conditions are the same as for the underlying torus, or in a twisted sector, with boundary conditions twisted by an element of the point group ($\theta^k, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., N-1$ in the case of a Z_N pointgroup.) In a twisted sector the bosonic degrees of freedom of the string return to their original values only up to the action of a point group element in going around a closed string.

Focussing on Z_N orbifolds, the θ^k twisted sector is in fact a set of twisted sectors located at the fixed points of θ^k, as we now discuss. The twisted boundary condition on the bosonic degrees of freedom of the heterotic string implies, in particular, that the centre-of-mass coordinate $\mathbf{Z}$ of the string, in a complex basis ($Z^1 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X^1 + iX^2)$ etc.) is at an orbifold fixed point, i.e.

$$(\theta^k, \ell)\mathbf{Z} = \theta^k \mathbf{Z} + \ell = \mathbf{Z} \tag{10}$$

for some lattice vector ℓ. There is a distinct string sector for each fixed point.

In general, quark and lepton generations can arise from the untwisted sector or from the various twisted sectors at various fixed points. In the first instance, the number of generations turns out to be larger than three. However, this number can be adjusted by introducing Wilson lines, *i.e.* non-zero quantities U of the form

$$U \sim \oint A_m \, dx^m \tag{11}$$

where m runs over compact "manifold" coordinates, the integral is around some closed loop on the underlying torus not contractible to zero, and A_m are the components of some ten-dimensional gauge field with zero field strength. These quantities can be gauged away by means of non-single-valued gauge transformations. In this alternative formulation, the Wilson lines (11) are no longer present but instead, the bosonic degrees of freedom associated with $E_8 \times E_8'$ acquire extra shifts upon a circuit of the torus. As a consequence, the boundary conditions for the twisted sectors of the orbifold are modified. These extra shifts and this modification depend on the particular fixed point sector. For example, for the case of a Z_3 orbifold, if the embedding of the point group element θ in the gauge group is represented by the shift πv^I on the boundary conditions of the bosonic degrees of freedom, and the embedding of the lattice basis vector $\mathbf{e}_\rho$ with which the Wilson line is associated is represented by the

shift πa^I_ρ, then the left-mover mass formula is modified to

$$\frac{1}{2}m_L^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{I=0}^{16}\left(p_L^I + v^I + r_\rho a_\rho^I\right)^2 + \tilde{N} - \frac{2}{3} \tag{12}$$

with $\tilde{N}$ the oscillator term, and $r_\rho = 0, \pm 1$ for the various fixed points of θ. The spectrum of massless states is now different for different fixed points because of the influence of the embeddings a^I_ρ of the lattice basis vector $\mathbf{e}_\rho$ (the Wilson lines.) In this way, it turns out to be possible to adjust the number of generations to three by a suitable choice of Wilson lines[29]. Wilson lines also allow the gauge group to be adjusted by generalised GSO projections on the untwisted sector.

The Yukawa couplings for orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string are subject to a number of useful selection rules. For example, for a non-zero three-point function, the product of the (three) space-group elements for the fixed points with which the states are associated should contain the identity element of the space group. In particular, the product of the point group elements for the three twisted sectors should be the identity. Also, if we bosonize the NSR right-moving fermionic degrees of freedom so that the right movers are represented by a five-component momentum (H-momentum), then H-momentum should be conserved.

Indeed, the Yukawa couplings are fully calculable using conformal field theory methods. For example, for the case of the Z_3 orbifold with point group generated by θ, and for large values of the torus radii $R_{2i-1},\ i = 1, 2, 3$ for the three complex planes, the Yukawa coupling Y between three θ-twisted sectors takes the form[29]:

$$\begin{aligned} Y &\sim 1 \qquad \text{for} \quad d_{2i-1} = 0 \\ &\sim \exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{3}}\textstyle\sum_i R_{2i-1}^2\right) \text{for} \quad d_{2i-1} = \pm 1, \pm 2 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In (13)

$$d_{2i-1} = p^1_{2i-1} - p^2_{2i-1} \tag{14}$$

where p^J_{2i-1} characterizes the θ-twisted sector fixed point for the Jth state, and $p^J_{2i-1} = 0, \pm 1$ for each value of i. The sizes of the Yukawas are controlled by the "distances" d_{2i-1} between the fixed points in the three complex planes, and the exponential dependence of the Yukawas on R^2_{2i-1} allows for mass hierarchies to develop when the Higgs expectation values are turned on.

A problem with orbifold compactifications of the weakly coupled heterotic string is that the (MSSM) renormalization group running of the observed gauge coupling constants requires unification to take place[30] at an energy scale of order 10^{16} GeV. However, the tree level heterotic string theory requires unification of gauge coupling constants to occur at the string scale[31] which is fixed to be about 10^{18} GeV. It is possible to overcome this difficulty by taking account of the moduli-dependent corrections to the gauge kinetic function, but

only for values of the moduli an order of magnitude larger than those obtained by minimizing the effective potential[32] (though this minimization may itself be suspect because of the dilaton stabilization problem.) The problem may also be overcome by adding (vector-like) matter at a scale below 10^{16} GeV.

4 Heterotic M-theory

M-theory on the orbifold S^1/Z_2 is believed to describe the strong coupling limit of the $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string [13], and thus, constitutes a particularly interesting starting point for particle phenonemonology. At low energy, this theory is described by 11-dimensional supergravity coupled to two 10-dimensional E_8 gauge multiplets residing on the two fixed points of the orbifold. Compactifications leading to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in four dimensions are based on space-times of the structure $M_{11} = S^1/Z_2 \times \mathcal{M} \times M_4$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a CY-threefold and M_4 is flat Minkowski space. Heterotic M-theory vacua with both standard and non-standard embeddings [33] have been studied.

A novel construction of a CY threefold $\mathcal{M}$, which has been exploited in heterotic M-theory, is one in which the manifold can be represented as an elliptic fibration over a surface $\mathcal{S}$. The elliptically fibred CY manifolds including 5-branes are the direct descendents of those those that arise in the weakly coupled case which were discussed in §2. In particular, Donagi *et al*[34] constructed elliptically fibred CY spaces, which lead to non-perturbative $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric vacua of heterotic M-theory, satisfying the phenomenological requirement that the low energy gauge group is a grand unified gauge group such as $SU(5)$, $SO(10)$ or E_6 with three generations of chiral fermions. To do so the background gauge fields must lie in an $SU(N)$ subgroup of E_8 and there is a constraint on the third Chern class of the gauge bundles. The form of this constraint for elliptically fibred CY three-folds was first presented in [35,36,37]. One then chooses a particular Calabi-Yau threefold and finds the relevant $SU(N)$ bundle which is a solution of the three-family condition. That this be a true $SU(N)$ bundle provides a second constraint. They also allowed the presence of NS 5-branes in the vacua. The requirement that the vacua be anomaly free and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric leads to a cohomology condition that fixes the homology class of the 5-branes in terms of Chern classes of the gauge bundles and the CY tangent space. The five-branes carry unitary groups on their world volumes. Enhancement of the gauge group occurs when either two or more five branes overlap, or a single 5-brane degenerates in such a way such that two parts of the wrapping curve come close together in the CY manifold. They can be considered as a further set of hidden sectors.

More precisely, an elliptically fibred Calabi-Yau threefold $\mathcal{M}$ consists of a base $\mathcal{S}$, which is a complex two-surface, and an analytic map

$$\pi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{S} \tag{15}$$

with the property that for a generic point $b \in \mathcal{S}$, the fibre

$$E_b = \pi^{-1}(b) \tag{16}$$

is an *elliptic curve*, that is a Riemann surface of genus one. In addition, it is usually required that there exists a global section, denoted σ, defined to be an analytic map

$$\sigma : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M} \tag{17}$$

that assigns to every point $b \in \mathcal{S}$ the zero element ($\sigma b = \mathcal{O}$) of the elliptic curve E_b group [b] We recall some of the properties of an elliptic curve. The defining relation for a general projective cubic is provided by the Weierstraß equation

$$P(x, y, z) = 4(x - e_1 z)(x - e_2 z)(x - e_3 z) - y^2 z \tag{18}$$

$$= 4x^3 - g_2 x z^2 - g_3 z^3 - y^2 z = 0, \;\; g_2, g_3 \in C \tag{19}$$

where e_i, $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the three roots, of the cubic $4x^3 - g_2 x - g_3$. The curve is smooth. That is, it fits into the projective space P^2 without singularities, which is to say that the gradient of the defining relation $P = 0$ does not vanish any place on the curve. The invariants of the cubic (g_2 and g_3) encode the different complex structures one can put on the torus. The discriminant Δ of the curve is given by

$$\Delta = g_2^3 - 27 g_3^2 \tag{20}$$

The curve has genus one if it is non-singular, *i.e.* $\Delta \neq 0$.

Using the spectral cover formalism, Donagi *et al*[34] constructed semi-stable holomorphic gauge bundles with fibre groups G_1 and G_2 over the orbifold fixed planes of heterotic M-theory. By restricting the structure groups to be

$$G_i = U(N_i) \text{ or } SU(N_i) \tag{21}$$

they showed that the base $\mathcal{S}$ is restricted to almost del Pezzo, Hirzebruch or Enrique surfaces, as well as blow-ups of Hirzebruch surfaces. Del Pezzo surfaces have a positive first Chern class ($c_1(\mathcal{S}) > 0$). Some examples of Hirzebruch and almost del Pezzo surfaces are given by the configurations

$$F_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} P^2 & 1 \\ P^1 & 1 \end{array} \right)_4, \;\; \left(\begin{array}{c|c} P^2 & 3 \\ P^1 & 1 \end{array} \right)_{12} \; etc. \tag{22}$$

[b] One can define a homomorphism f from the additive group of complex numbers onto the group of complex points on a cubic algebraic curve via elliptic functions. The kernel of this homomorphism is a lattice L. The factor group, $\frac{C}{L} = \frac{C}{\mathrm{Ker}(f)} \sim f(u), u \in C$, of the complex plane modulo the lattice L is isomorphic to the group of complex points on the elliptic curve. Therefore, the group of complex points on the elliptic curve is that of a torus, the direct product of two cyclic groups.

where subscripts denote Euler characteristics. Donagi *et al*[34] also showed that the second Chern class $c_2(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M})$ of the holomorphic tangent bundle of $\mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$c_2(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}) = c_2(\mathcal{S}) + 11c_1(\mathcal{S})^2 + 12\sigma c_1(\mathcal{S}) \tag{23}$$

For the allowed cases discussed above the first and second Chern classes of the base $\mathcal{S}$ are known. The ingredients of the spectral cover construction of semi-stable holomorphic gauge bundles include the Chern classes of an $SU(N)$ gauge bundle V, which are[35,36,37]

$$c_1(V) = 0 \tag{24}$$

$$c_2(V) = \eta\sigma - \frac{1}{24}c_1(\mathcal{S})^2(N^3 - N) + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4})N\eta\,(\eta - Nc_1(\mathcal{S})) \tag{25}$$

$$c_3(V) = 2\lambda\sigma\eta(\eta - Nc_1(\mathcal{S}) \tag{26}$$

The $SU(N)$ gauge bundle is determined by two line bundles $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, and $c_1(\mathcal{X}) \equiv \eta$. Further, the requirement that the first Chern number $c_1(\mathcal{N})$ is integral requires[34] that the parameter $\lambda = m + \frac{1}{2}$ with $m \in Z$, for Nodd, or $\lambda = m$ and $\eta = c_1(\mathcal{S})$ mod 2 for N even.

The third ingredient for defining a non-perturbative vacuum is a set of 5-branes wrapped on holomorphic curves within $\mathcal{M}$. The cancellation of anomalies leads to the condition

$$[W] = c_2(\mathcal{M}) - c_2(V_1) - c_2(V_2) \tag{27}$$

where the class $[W] = \sum_{n=1}^{K}[J^{(n)}]$ must be $effective$ [34] and $J^{(n)}$ are sources arising from K 5-branes located in the orbifold interval. The number of generations is given by

$$N_{gen} = \frac{1}{2}\int_\mathcal{M} c_3(V) = \lambda\eta(\eta - Nc_1(\mathcal{S})) \tag{28}$$

The three-family condition becomes

$$3 = \lambda\eta(\eta - Nc_1(\mathcal{S}) = \lambda[W_\mathcal{S}^2 - (24 - N)W_\mathcal{S}c_1(\mathcal{S}) + 12(12 - N)c_1(\mathcal{S})^2] \tag{29}$$

where the second form of the condition is expressed in terms of the effective class $W_\mathcal{S}$. If G denotes the structure group and H its commutant subgroup, Donagi *et al*[34] concentrated on the cases where $H = E_6, \quad SO(10), \text{or} \quad SU(5)$. For instance, one can obtain an observable gauge symmetry $H = SU(5)$ with the base $\mathcal{S}$ described by the del Pezzo surface dP_9 with configuration matrix the second matrix in eqn (22). In this case $c_2(\mathcal{S}) = \chi_E(\mathcal{S}) = 12$. Also $N = 5$ and $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}$ by choosing $m = 1$. By choosing the component of the five-brane class, $W_\mathcal{S}$ in dP_9 appropriately, the three family condition (29) is satisfied. Thus this is an example of a three-generation model with $SU(5)$ GUT symmetry and five-branes present. In [34] it was also shown that elliptically fibred CY threefolds with an Enriques base never admit an effective five-brane curve if one requires that there be three families.

Since the theories described in this framework are GUTs, it is important to know whether it is possible to break the GUT symmetry spontaneously down to the standard model. In [34] it was demonstrated that this is indeed possible using Wilson lines.

5 Type II orientifold models

An alternative approach to the strongly coupled heterotic string (M-theory) is to stick with weakly coupled string theory, but to study a type IIB string theory with D-branes. In particular, Type IIB theories compactified on an orientifold have been considered. In the simplest case, a type IIB string compactified on an orbifold is also quotiented by the world sheet parity operation Ω, *i.e.* the space-like world sheet coordinates σ and $-\sigma$ are identified. The theory then contains open strings as well as closed strings, with the open strings beginning and ending on Dp-branes (solitonic solutions with a $p+1$-dimensional world volume.) Such theories are equivalent to orbifold-compactified $SO(32)$ type I theories. The presence of some Dp-branes is required for consistency of the theory because of the need to cancel (divergent) tadpoles coming from amplitudes on Klein-bottle world sheets. For example, in the absence of anti-Dp-branes, for Z_N orientifolds with N odd, only D9-branes are allowed and 32 D9-branes are needed to cancel (untwisted) tadpoles. For Z_N orientifolds with N even, D5-branes may also be present. More generally, anti-Dp-branes may be present and D5-branes and anti-D5-branes may be present when N is odd. (There is a T-dual picture in which D9-branes are replaced by D7-branes, and D5-branes by D3-branes.)

Models are characterized by the action of the Z_N point group on the Chan-Paton matrices. This action is constrained by the need to cancel also tadpoles involving fields from the twisted sectors arising from amplitudes on cylinder and Möbius strip world sheets, as well as Klein bottle world sheets. If the Chan-Paton matrices for an open string with end points on a Dp-brane and a Dq-brane are denoted by λ^{pq}, then the action of an orbifold group element g on the Chan-Paton matrix is of the form

$$g: \quad \lambda^{pq} \to \gamma_{g,p}\lambda^{pq}\gamma_{g,q}^{-1} \tag{30}$$

where $\gamma_{g,p}$ and $\gamma_{g,q}$ are the unitary matrices. Wilson lines may be introduced, much as for orbifolds, with a similar action on the Chan-Paton matrices. Similarly for the action of Ω.

In orientifold models, gauge fields arise from open strings (with Chan-Paton factors) beginning and ending on a set of Dp-branes. In the most promising models to date[38], the observable gauge group occurs in the 99 sector (where the pq sector denotes open strings beginning and ending on Dp-branes and Dq-branes) with chiral massless matter occurring in $99, 55$ and 59 sectors. Allowed states are required to be invariant under the combined action of the orbifold group on both the explicit oscillator factors in the state and

the Chan-Paton matrix. To avoid extended supersymmetry the Dp-branes are located at orbifold singularities (fixed points).

Three generations (together with some extra matter in vector-like representations) arise in a natural way. First, matter in bi-fundamental representations of gauge group factors occurs in the 99 sector so that a $U(3) \times U(2)$ factor provides quark doublets in the $(\mathbf{3}, \bar{\mathbf{2}})$ representation of $SU(3) \times SU(2)$. Also, the bosonic component of a massless matter supermultiplet in the 99 sector is a state of the form

$$\psi^i_{-\frac{1}{2}}|0\rangle\lambda^{99(i)}_{ab} \qquad i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{31}$$

where $\psi^i_{-\frac{1}{2}}|0\rangle$ is the oscilltor factor, $\lambda^{99(i)}_{ab}$ is the Chan-Paton factor, and $i = 1, 2, 3$ refers to the three complex planes for the compact string degrees of freedom ($Z^1 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X^1 + iX^2)$ etc.) The weight vectors ρ^i for these matter states are subject to the projections

$$\rho^i.V_\theta = v^i_\theta \bmod 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{32}$$

where V_θ is a shift on the $SO(32)$ lattice representing $\gamma_{\theta,9}$, and v^i_θ are the twists for the point group element θ. For the case of a Z_3 orientifold

$$v_\theta = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}\right) \tag{33}$$

and, since all three twists are $\frac{1}{3} \bmod 1$, we get identical projections for all three states. Moreover, if any Wilson line present is represented by the shift W, there is also a projection

$$\rho^i.W = 0 \bmod 1 \tag{34}$$

which does not distinguish $i = 1, 2, 3$. Thus, in the case of a Z_3 orientifold, the 99 matter states and, in particular, the quark doublets come in three copies. Essentially, there are the three generations because the compact string degrees of freedom form three complex planes.

The most realistic orientifold models constructed to date have contained anti-D-branes. In that case, supersymmetry is broken explicitly in an anti-D-brane hidden sector, with supersymmetry breaking being transmitted gravitationally to the observable sector. Since we expect the scale of supersymmetry breaking in the anti-D-brane sector to be the string scale m_s, the mass of the sparticles in the observable sector may be expected to be of order m_s^2/m_P, where m_P is the four-dimensional Planck mass. For sparticle masses of order 1TeV we have $m_s \sim 10^{11}$GeV. Then, unification of gauge coupling constants at a scale of order 10^{11}GeV is to be expected (modulo some subtleties to do with Kaluza-Klein modes and winding modes[39]). Interestingly, orientifold models can contain the extra matter required to allow the renormalization group equations to run to unification at this lower scale, though with an unconventional value for the coupling constant ratio g_1^2/g_3^2 which arises from the

identification of the weak hypercharge with a specific non-anomalous $U(1)$. The string scale is necessarily of order the Planck mass in weakly coupled heterotic theories. However, in type II orientifold theories with isotropic compactification on a scale $R = m_c^{-1}$ and gauge fields for the observable sector arising from D9-brane open strings, we have the relationship

$$\frac{m_c^3}{m_s^2} = \frac{\alpha_X m_P}{2\sqrt{2}} \tag{35}$$

where $\alpha_X \simeq \frac{1}{24}$. Then, the string scale can be adjusted to an intermediate scale by adjusting m_c.

There is a potential problem of too rapid proton decay with such a low string scale. However, type IIB orientifold theories differ from weakly coupled heterotic theories in a vital respect. Whereas in the latter case anomalous $U(1)$ factors in the gauge group disappear completely after the anomaly has been cancelled by a Green-Schwarz mechanism, in the former case anomalous $U(1)$ gauge symmetries are able to survive as global symmetries in the low energy theory. This different behaviour is a consequence of the fact that in the heterotic case the Fayet-Iliopouls term for the anomalous $U(1)$ involves the dilaton field whose expectation value is controlled by the strength of the gauge coupling constant, whereas in the type IIB orientifold case it involves orbifold blowing-up modes whose expectation values can approach zero as the orbifold limit is approached. These global $U(1)$ symmetries may forbid dangerous terms in the superpotential. They are also a promising way of obtaining a quark-lepton mass matrix with appropriate hierarchies.

6 Three-generation Type IIB orbifold model

We noted in the §1 that by considering toroidal compactifications of Type I superstrings we are led naturally to Type II strings with D-branes. It is well known that Type IIB theory quotiented with the Z_2 generated by the world sheet parity operator Ω is just Type I theory. Thus orbifold compactifications of Type I are orientifold compactifications of Type IIB, as observed in the previous section. However, if we consider only orbifold compactifications of Type IIB and their T-duals we will never encounter open strings or D-branes, but there is no reason why we should not *start* from Type IIB with D-branes, and this is the basis of the "bottom-up" approach[15].

It is convenient, and more transparent, to work in the T-dual picture to that used in §5. The starting point is a stack of six D3-branes at a Z_3 orbifold fixed point that without loss of generality may be taken to be the origin O. Then the gauge vector bosons (and gauginos) of $U(6)$ arise from open strings that begin and end on the D3-branes. The gauge group is broken to $U(3)\times U(2)\times U(1)$ when the action of the generator θ of the Z_3 point group is embedded in the Chan-Paton indices by the matrix

$$\gamma_{\theta,3} = \mathrm{diag}(I_3, \alpha I_2, \alpha^2) \tag{36}$$

where $\alpha \equiv e^{2\pi i/3}$. Each of the $U(n)$ groups has its own $U(1)$ charge Q_n, and the only non-anomalous combination is proportional to (the weak hypercharge)

$$-Y \equiv \frac{1}{3}Q_3 + \frac{1}{2}Q_2 + Q_1 \tag{37}$$

It follows that at the unification scale the three standard model gauge couplings satisfy

$$\alpha_3(m_X) = \alpha_2(m_X) = \frac{11}{3}\alpha_1(m_X) \tag{38}$$

corresponding to

$$\sin^2\theta_W(m_X) = \frac{3}{14} = 0.214 \tag{39}$$

The 33 sector also produces $n_G = 3$ generations of (supersymmetric) chiral matter in the (bi-findamental) representations $(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2})_{1/6}+(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})_{1/2}+(\mathbf{1},\bar{\mathbf{3}})_{-2/3}$ of the gauge group, corresponding to $Q_L + H_u + u_L^c$. It is easy to see that this has non-abelian anomaly, which derives from the existence of uncancelled Ramond-Ramond (RR) twisted tadpoles at O. To cancel them D7-branes passing through O must be introduced. We choose to introduce only $D7_3$-branes with $z_3 = 0$, wrapping the $z_{1,2}$ complex planes, with point-group embedding

$$\gamma_{\theta,7_3} = \mathrm{diag}(I_u, \alpha I_{u+3}, \alpha^2 I_{u+6}) \tag{40}$$

so that the twisted-tadpole cancellation condition

$$3\mathrm{Tr}\gamma_{\theta,3} - \gamma_{\theta,7_1} - \gamma_{\theta,7_2} + \gamma_{\theta,7_3} = 0 \tag{41}$$

is satisfied (for any integer $u \geq 0$). The D7-branes generate their own gauge group, and the 37_3+7_33 states complete the $n_G = 3$ chiral generations together with extra vector-like matter. In all we get

$$\begin{aligned} &3(Q_L + u_L^c + d_L^c + L + H_u + H_d + e_L^c) + \\ &(u+3)(d_L^c + \bar{d}_L^c) + u(H_u + H_d) + u(e_L^c + \bar{e}_L^c) \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The introduction of the D7-branes leads to uncancelled twisted tadpoles at the eight other orbifold fixed points with $z_3 = 0$, namely at $(p, \pm 1, 0)$ where $p = \pm 1, 0$, and at $(\pm 1, 0, 0)$. Introducing a suitably chosen Wilson line in the z_2-direction cancels the RR charge at the six points with $z_2 \neq 0$, and at the same time reduces the size of the D7-brane gauge group; we take $u = 3\tilde{u}$ and the D7-brane gauge group is reduced to $[U(\tilde{u}) \times U(1+\tilde{u}) \times U(2+\tilde{u})]^3$. The cancellation at the remaining two fixed points is easily arranged, for example by introducing a stack of six D3-branes at each of them, with θ embedded as at O. The important point is that so far there are no branes, and so no twisted RR charge, at any of the fixed points in the planes $z_3 = \pm 1$. Thus we are free finally to cancel the untwisted tadpoles by putting an appropriate array

of anti-branes in (say) the $z_3 = 1$ plane. Precisely what array is used does not matter. The essential point is that it *can* be done, and in a way that ensures cancellation of all twisted RR charges in the $z_3 = 1$ plane. The anti-branes are non-supersymmetric, but this lack of supersymmetry is transmitted to the observable sector (in the plane $z_3 = 0$) only gravitationally, by closed string states. Thus, as in §5, requiring that the sparticles have TeV-scale masses requires a string scale (m_s) of order 10^{11}GeV. The question, therefore, is whether the matter content we have derived leads to unification at a scale (m_X) that is consistent with such a string scale.

The (one-loop) renormalization group equations give

$$\sin^2\theta_W(m_Z) = \frac{3}{14} + \\ + \frac{11b_2 - 3b_1}{3b_1 + 3b_2 - 14b_3}\Big[\frac{3}{14} - \frac{\alpha_{em}(m_Z)}{\alpha_3(m_Z)}\Big] \tag{43}$$

where b_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the beta function coefficients. The matter content (42) gives $(11b_2 - 3b_1)/(3b_1 + 3b_2 - 14b_3) < 0$, so that $\sin^2\theta_W(m_Z) < 0.214$, whereas

$$\sin^2\theta_W(m_Z)|_{exp} = 0.231 \tag{44}$$

However, if we assume that we are able to turn on string-scale VEVs for the D7-brane matter fields, then, since the $37_3 + 7_33$ matter fields are coupled to the 7_37_3 matter fields, we are free to give string-scale masses to some or all of the vector-like matter. We therefore consider the possibility of giving masses to α copies of $e^c_L + \bar{e}^c_L$, β copies of $H_u + H_d$, and γ copies of $d^c_L + \bar{d}^c_L$ on a scale larger than m_X. Then using the Particle Data Group values $\alpha^{-1}_{em}(m_Z) = 128.9$ and $\alpha_3(m_Z) = 0.119$, the above renormalization group equation gives

$$\sin^2\theta_W(m_Z) = 0.2275 \quad \text{and} \quad m_X = 1.3 \times 10^{10}\text{GeV} \tag{45}$$

when $\alpha - \beta = 2$ and $\gamma - \beta = 4$. We can obtain a larger unification scale, and exactly the measured value (44) of $\sin^2\theta_W(m_Z)$, if we assume in addition that some other 77 sector scalars give masses to all of the extra matter, over and above that in the MSSM, on a scale m_Y not very much larger than m_Z. Then with $\alpha - \beta = 3$ and $\gamma - \beta = 3$ we get

$$\frac{m_Y}{m_Z} = 13.9 \quad \text{and} \quad m_X = 1.1 \times 10^{12}\text{GeV} \tag{46}$$

Assuming an isotropic compactification, the compactification scale m_c is given by[39]

$$\frac{m_s^4}{m_c^3} = \alpha_D \frac{m_P}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{47}$$

where α_D is the value of the unified coupling (38) on the D3-branes. Open strings beginning and ending on D3-branes have no Kaluza-Klein (momentum)

modes, only winding modes with mass a multiple of $m_w = m_s^2/m_c$. Thus

$$\frac{m_w}{m_s} = \left(\frac{\alpha_D\ m_P}{\sqrt{2}m_s}\right)^{1/3} \tag{48}$$

To avoid a Landau pole in the renormalization group equation we require $\tilde{u} \leq 1$. Since we also require $\tilde{u} > 0$ so that $\alpha > 0$, which is needed for (45) and (46), the value $\tilde{u} = 1$ is uniquely selected. Then α_3 runs only between m_Z and m_Y and its value at m_X is approximately the same as at m_Z. Then m_w is at least a factor of 10^2 larger than m_s, and it is therefore consistent to identify the string scale with the unification scale. The values caculated in (45) and (46) are (just about) consistent with the observable supersymmetry breaking arising from gravitational interactions with an anti-brane sector.

The situation with respect to quark and lepton mass hierarchies is the same as for the model discussed by Aldazabal *et al*[15]. In particular, superpotential terms coupling the chiral superfields L, e_L^c and H_d are forbidden at tree level, and to all orders string perturbation theory. Such couplings are allowed, of course, by conservation of weak hypercharge, but *not* by conservation of Q_2, for example. As discussed in §5, such $U(1)$ symmetries are expected to survive as *global* symmetries in Type I/IIB theories. However, the global and other symmetries do allow the coupling of L and e_L^c to a composite effective Higgs field constructed from $\bar{e}_L^c, H_u$ and some D7-brane chiral fields. Since baryon number $B = \frac{1}{3}Q_3$, it is perturbatively conserved if conservation of Q_3 survives as a global symmetry. Thus the proton is stable. On the other hand, lepton-number is not conserved, so another solution of this problem is required if such models are to survive. In any case, the survival of global $U(1)$ symmetries brings other problems. Generically, we expect electroweak spontaneous symmetry breaking to break *both* the local $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry and any global $U(1)$ symmetry. (This certainly applies to Q_2, since H_u and H_d have $Q_2 = \pm 1$.) Spontaneous breaking of a global symmetry gives a massless Goldstone boson at the Lagrangian level, the "axion", which acquires a non-zero mass because the global $U(1)$ is anomalous. Unfortunately, electroweak spontaneous symmetry breaking generates a "visible" axion with a mass predicted to be of order 10keV, and there is by now overwhelming evidence that such an axion does not exist[40].

In conclusion, we note that the bottom-up approach allows the construction in an attractively direct way of models with gauge group and matter content close to that of the standard model, but with an intermediate unification scale. There remain non-trivial problems with the lepton mass hierarchy, lepton number non-conservation and a visible axion.

Acknowledgments

This paper is based on the talk given by one of us (D.B.) at the (first) Cairo International Conference on High Energy Physics. It is a pleasure to thank

the organisers for their invitation to speak, and to congratulate them all, particularly Shaaban Khalil, for making the meeting so enjoyable. We are grateful to Mark Hindmarsh for pointing out the problem with visible axions in our model.

References

1. M. B. Green and J. Schwarz, Physics Letters B **149**, 117 (1984)
2. D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. Martinec and R. Rohm, Nuclear Physics B **256**, 253 (1985)
3. P. Candelas, G. T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, Nuclear Physics B **258**, 46 (1985)
4. L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nuclear Physics B **261**, 678 (1985)
5. D. Gepner, Nuclear Physics B **296**, 757 (1988)
6. H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. -H. C. Tye, Physical Review Letters **57**, 1832 (1986); Nuclear Physics B **288**, 1 (1987)
7. I. Antoniadis, C. P. Bacchas and C. Kounnas, Nuclear Physics B **289**, 87 (1987)
8. See, for example, A. Faraggi, *Tegernsee 1999: Beyond the Desert 1999* 335-357, hep-th/9910042
9. E. Witten, Nuclear Physics B **443**, 85 (1995)
10. J. Hughes, J. Liu and J. Polchinski, Physics Letters B **180**, 370 (1986)
11. E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and P. K. Townsend, Physics Letters B **189**, 75 (1987)
12. M. J. Duff, P. Howe, T. Inami and K. S. Stelle, Physics Letters B **191**, 70 (1987)
13. P. Hořava and E. Witten, Nuclear Physics B **460**, 506 (1996)
14. J. Polchinski, Physical Review Letters **75**, 4724 (1995)
15. G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibáñez, F. Quevedo and A. M. Uranga, JHEP **0008**, 002 (2000), hep-th/0005067
16. D. Bailin, G. V. Kraniotis and A. Love, Physics Letters B **502**, 209 (2001), hep-th/0011289
17. P. Green and T. Hübsch, Commun. of Math. Phys. **113**, 99 (1987)
18. P. Green and T. Hübsch, Commun. of Math. Phys. **119**, 441 (1988)
19. P. Candelas, C.A. Lütken and R. Schimmrigk, Nuclear Physics B **306**, 113 (1988)
20. P. Candelas, A. M. Dale, C.A. Lütken and R. Schimmrigk, Nuclear Physics B **298**, 493 (1988)
21. P. Green, T. Hübsch and C.A. Lütken, Classical & Quantum Gravity **6**, 105 (1989); T. Hübsch, *Calabi Yau manifolds A bestiary for physicists*, World Scientific 1991
22. S.T. Yau, In *Anomalies, Geometry and Topology*, Argonne Symposium

on anomalies, geometry and topology, Eds. W. A. Bardeen and A.R. White, World Scientific, Singapore 1985, with appendix by G. Tian and S.T. Yau.
23. R. Schimmrigk, Physics Letters B **193**, 175 (1987)
24. B. R. Greene, K. Kirklin, P. Miron and G. G. Ross, Nuclear Physics B **278**, 667 (1986); Nuclear Physics B **292**, 606 (1987)
25. J. Distler and B. Greene, Nuclear Physics B **304**, 1 (1988)
26. J. Distler and S. Kachru, Nuclear Physics B **413**, 213 (1994)
27. S. Kachru, Physics Letters B **349**, 76 (1995), hep-th/9501131.
28. D. Bailin and A. Love, Physics Reports **315**, 285 (1999).
29. See Bailin and Love, *op cit* for references.
30. U. Amaldi, W. de Boer and H. Furstenau, Physics Letters B **260**, 447 (1991)
31. D. C. Lewellen, Nuclear Physics B **337**, 61 (1990)
32. L. E. Ibáñez, D. Lüst and G. G. Ross, Physics Letters B **272**, 251 (1991)
33. K. Benakli, Physics Letters B **447**, 51 (1998); Z. Lalak, S. Pokorski and S. Thomas, hep-ph/9807503, A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Nuclear Physics B **532**, 43 (1998), hep-th/9807503
34. R. Donagi, A. Lukas, B.A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, JHEP **9906**, 043 (1999), hep-th/9901009; R. Donagi, B.A. Ovrut, T. Pantev and D. Waldram, hep-th/9912208
35. G. Curio, Physics Letters B **435**, 39 (1998)
36. B. Andreas, hep-th/9802202
37. R. Friedman, J. Morgan and E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. **187**, 679 (1997)
38. G. Aldazabal, L. E. Ibáñez and F. Quevedo, JHEP **0001**, 031 (2000), hep-th/9909172
39. L. E. Ibáñez, C. Muñoz and S. Rigolin, Nuclear Physics B **553**, 43 (1999)
40. Particle Data Group, European Physical Journal C **15**, 2000 (1)